Supplemental Communications

LATE COMMUNICATIONS LPC 03-30-23

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than 10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

Name of CLG

City of Berkeley

Report Prepared by: Fatema Crane, Secretary to LPC, and Allison Riemer, Clerk to the LPC

Date of commission/board review: March 30, 2023

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

- 1. What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals. REMINDER: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. None.
- 2. Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code. https://berkeley.municipal.codes/BMC/3.24
- B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated during the reporting.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2020 – September 30, 2021, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder
2136-2154 San Pablo Avenue	10/01/2020	N/A	Pending
4 Mosswood Lane	12/3/2020	Panoramic Hill NR District	Pending
1 Orchard Lane	12/3/2020	Panoramic Hill NR District	Pending
1 Panoramic Way	12/3/2020	Panoramic Hill NR District	Pending
1325 Arch Street	08/05/2021	N/A	Pending

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors?

Property Name/Address	Date Removed	Reason
		Click or tap here to enter text.

C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

1.	Do you address historic preservation in your general plan?	□ No
	☑ Yes, in a separate historic preservation element.	☐ Yes, it is included in another element.

Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan or to the separate historic preservation element. https://berkeleyca.gov/sites/default/files/documents/13 https://berkeleyca.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/documents/files/docu

D. Review Responsibilities

1. Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

_ ^ 11					4.1	
1 1 1 11	nralacta	CLIBIAGE TA	docido	rallall	an tha	commiccion
	OLOIGUS.	SUDJECT TO	CESICIL	IEVIEW	uu iiie	commission

⊠ Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? The Commission reviews Structural Alteration Permits submitted for work on Landmark properties requiring City permits. Projects that adhere to the Landmarks Preservation Ordinance Section 3.24.220 for Permit application exceptions (i.e. ordinary maintenance and repairs) are reviewed administratively through building permit plan check.

2. California Environmental Quality Act

• What is the role of historic preservation staff and commission in providing input to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? Projects involving demolition require Use Permits with Public Hearings and staff reviews for the potential to adversely affect historical resources under CEQA, requiring DPR forms and/or standards analyses as appropriate. The Zoning Officer requires a referral to the LPC for the demolition of non-residential buildings and structures forty or more years of age. This requirement also provides an opportunity for the Commission to have input in the CEQA historical resource determination. Staff reviews technical reports for CEQA exemptions on residential projects for buildings over 40 years old.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? If an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) identifies potential impacts to historical resources, the LPC is alerted of the preparation and scoping meeting(s). If the City is the lead agency, staff reviews the administrative Draft EIR (DEIR). The DEIR is taken to the LPC for review and comment during circulation. The LPC comments are forwarded to the Zoning Officer for inclusion in the Response to Comments document. The Zoning Adjustments Board is the decision making body on the Final EIR (FEIR) certification, but the LPC considers and adopts any FEIR certification required for Structural Alteration Permit decisions.

3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local
government? Our preservation staff coordinates with the City's Department of Health Housing & Community
Development Services (HHCS) staff to present environmental assessments for housing development projects
receiving federal funds to the LPC. Preservation and HHCS staff solidify the Commission's comments about historic
resources in the area of potential effect (APE), in accordance with Section 106 of the National Preservation Act.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

• What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? Staff reviews and forwards to the Commission Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government. Under such projects, the LPC opens a public consultation meeting so that the Commission may provide comments to the City on potential project effects on historic resources. The Commission consultation focus is on whether: 1) The APE is of the proper size and scope; 2) There are any historic properties present; 3) The undertaking would have adverse or beneficial effects (or both) on the property, and what those specific effects would be. Comments and/or findings are forwarded to the City Council for comment to the Lead Agency and SHPO.

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address
Matthew Abranches Da Silva	Political Science	10/2018	04/2021	abranches@berkeley.edu
Christopher Adams	Architecture & Planning	01/2017	01/2025	cristoforoadami2@gmail.com
Phil Allen	Distribution Management	01/2017	12/2020	Allen.phil@yahoo.com
Kathleen Crandall	Real Estate	11/2017	11/2025	kcrand1111@aol.com
Charles Enchill	Planning and Preservation	09/2019	09/2027	enchill.charles@gmail.com
Steven Finacom	Planning and History	11/2016	11/2024	Berkeley1860@gmail.com
Stephanie Johnson	Professor, Artist, Theater Designer	02/2020	02/2028	stephanieannejohnsonphd@g mail.com
Luke Leuschner	Research, Planning and Preservation	07/2021	07/2029	lleuschner6@gmail.com
Denise Hall Montgomery	Architecture	09/2019	09/2027	dhm@dhmarchitecture.com

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Paul Schwartz	Law	01/2019	01/2027	tullyfog@aol.com
Alfred Twu	Architecture	01/2021	01/2029	alfredtwu@gmail.com

Attach resumes and Statement of Professional Qualifications forms for all members.

- 1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications have not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. *N/A*
- 2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position be filled? N/A

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

1.	Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? $\ oxdot$ Yes	□ No	If not, who serves as staff? Click or
	tap here to enter text.		

2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? N/A

Attach resumes and Statement of Professional Qualifications forms for staff.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
5 t	Planning	Senior Planner	fcrane@cityofberkeley.info
Fatema Crane, LPC Secretary			
Alison Lenci, LPC Clerk	Planning	Assistant Planner	alenci@cityofberkeley.info

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven't met at least four times, explain why not.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Fatema Crane, staff	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes						

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Alison Lenci, staff	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes							
Matthew Abranches Da Silva	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes							
Christoher Adams	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Phil Allen	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes								
Kathleen Crandall		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes
Charles Enchill	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Steven Finacom	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Stephanie Johnson	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
Luke Leuschner										\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Denise Hall Montgomery	\boxtimes										
Paul Schwartz	\boxtimes			\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes
Alfred Twu				\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description (including method presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Fatema Crane, staff	CPF 2021 Annual Conference, online	3 days	CPF	06/08/21- 06/10/21

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Alison Lenci, staff	CPF 2021 Annual Conference, online	3 days	CPF	06/08/21- 06/10/21
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act</u>

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. (If you have not done so, submit an electronic copy or link if available online with this report.)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Click or tap here to enter text.			

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

Survey Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

How are you using the survey data? Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide an electronic link to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE ANNUAL PRODUCTS REPORTS FOR CLGS

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf.CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) how many historic properties did your local government **add** to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

	Program area	Number of Properties added
City of Berkeley		5

A. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) did you have a local register program to create local landmarks and/or local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law?

☐ No

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

2.	the answer is yes, then how many properties have been added to your register or designated from October 1, 2	2020
	September 30, 2021? 5	

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

1.	During the reporting period	l (October 1,	2020-September	30, 2021)	did you have a Lo	cal Tax Incentives	Program, su	ch
	as the Mills Act? ⊠ Yes	□ No						

2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021? 2

Name of Program	Number of Properties Added During 2020-2021	Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program
Mills Act	2	47

D. Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? □Yes ☒No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021? N/A

	Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.		Type here.

E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties? ⊠ Yes □ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021? Approximately 50

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

F.	Local	Property	Acquisition	Program
----	-------	----------	-------------	---------

1.	During the reporting period (October 1, 2020September 30, 2021) did you have a local program to	acquire (or help to
	acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? —Yes	No

2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021?

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.	Type here.

IN ADDITION TO THE MINIMUM CLG REQUIREMENTS, OHP IS INTERESTED IN YOUR FEEDBACK ABOUT THE RECENT CAMP TRAINING

- Did anyone from your local government participate in the free CAMP training opportunities in Fall 2021? No
- If yes, which training session(s) did you find the most informative and useful?
- Whether or not you were able to take advantageof any of the CAMP trainings in 2021, would you like to see OHP to provide free additional CAMPs in the future?

Yes, we are interested in future trainings.

XII Attachments (electronic)

Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all commission members/alternatives and sta
☐ Minutes from commission meetings
☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance
☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

☐ Public outreach publications

Email to: info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov

LATE COMMUNICATIONS LPC 03-30-23

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than 10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

Name of CLG

City of Berkeley

Report Prepared by: Fatema Crane, Secretary to LPC, and Allison Riemer, Clerk to the LPC

Date of commission/board review: March 30, 2023

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

- What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals., either as part of this report or under separate cover. *REMINDER*: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. None.
- 2. Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code. https://berkeley.municipal.codes/BMC/3.24

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated during the reporting.

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder
2523 Piedmont Avenue	2/3/2022	N/A	Pending
1940 Hearst Avenue	3/3/2022	N/A	Pending
2113 Kittredge Street	5/5/2022	N/A	Pending
2119 Marin Avenue	9/1/2022	N/A	Pending

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors?

Property Name/Address	Date Removed	Reason
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.

C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

1.	Do you address historic preservation in your general plan?	□ No
	☑ Yes, in a separate historic preservation element.	☐ Yes, it is included in another element.

Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan or to the separate historic preservation element. https://berkeleyca.gov/sites/default/files/documents/13_Urban%20Design%20and%20Preservation%20Element-FINAL.pdf

D. Review Responsibilities

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

1.	Who takes	responsibility	/ for de	sign review	or Certificates	of Ap	propriateness?

□ All projects subject to	design review	go the	commission
---------------------------	---------------	--------	------------

⊠ Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? The Commission reviews Structural Alteration Permits submitted for work on Landmark properties requiring City permits. Projects that adhere to the Landmarks Preservation Ordinance Section 3.24.220 for Permit application exceptions (i.e. ordinary maintenance and repairs) are reviewed administratively through building permit plan check.

2. California Environmental Quality Act

• What is the role of historic preservation staff and commission in providing input to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? Projects involving demolition require Use Permits with Public Hearings and staff reviews for the potential to adversely affect historical resources under CEQA, requiring DPR forms and/or standards analyses as appropriate. The Zoning Officer requires a referral to the LPC for the demolition of non-residential buildings and structures forty or more years of age. This requirement also provides an opportunity for the Commission to have input in the CEQA historical resource determination. Staff reviews technical reports for CEQA exemptions on residential projects for buildings over 40 years old.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? If an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) identifies potential impacts to historical resources, the LPC is alerted of the preparation and scoping meeting(s). If the City is the lead agency, staff reviews the administrative Draft EIR (DEIR). The DEIR is taken to the LPC for review and comment during circulation. The LPC comments are forwarded to the Zoning Officer for inclusion in the Response to Comments document. The Zoning Adjustments Board is the decision making body on the Final EIR (FEIR) certification, but the LPC considers and adopts any FEIR certification required for Structural Alteration Permit decisions.

3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

 What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government? Our preservation staff coordinates with the City's Department of Health Housing & Community Development Services (HHCS) staff to present environmental assessments for housing development projects

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

receiving federal funds to the LPC. Preservation and HHCS staff solidify the Commission's comments about historic resources in the area of potential effect (APE), in accordance with Section 106 of the National Preservation Act.

• What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? Staff reviews and forwards to the Commission Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government. Under such projects, the LPC opens a public consultation meeting so that the Commission may provide comments to the City on potential project effects on historic resources. The Commission consultation focus is on whether: 1) The APE is of the proper size and scope; 2) There are any historic properties present; 3) The undertaking would have adverse or beneficial effects (or both) on the property, and what those specific effects would be. Comments and/or findings are forwarded to the City Council for comment to the Lead Agency and SHPO.

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address
Christopher Adams	Architecture & Planning	01/2017	01/2025	cristoforoadami2@gmail.com
Kathleen Crandall	Real Estate	11/2017	11/2025	kcrand1111@aol.com
Charles Enchill	Planning and Preservation	09/2019	09/2027	enchill.charles@gmail.com
Steven Finacom	Planning and History	11/2016	11/2024	Berkeley1860@gmail.com
Stephanie Johnson	Art, Theater Designer	02/2020	02/2028	stephanieannejohnsonphd@g mail.com
Luke Leuschner	Research, Planning and Preservation	07/2021	07/2029	lleuschner6@gmail.com
Christopher Linvill	Architecture	05/2022	05/2030	clinvill@linvillandpondarchitects .com.
Denise Hall Montgomery	Architecture	09/2019	09/2027	dhm@dhmarchitecture.com

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

Paul Schwartz	Law	01/2019	01/2027	tullyfog@aol.com
Alfred Twu	Architecture	01/2021	01/2029	alfredtwu@gmail.com

Attach resumes and Statement of Professional Qualifications forms for all members.

- 1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications have not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. *N/A*
- 2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position be filled? N/A

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

- 1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? ⊠ Yes □ No If not, who serves as staff? Click or tap here to enter text.
- 2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? N/A

Attach resumes and Statement of Professional Qualifications forms for staff.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Fatema Crane, LPC	Planning	Principal Planner	fcrane@cityofberkeley.info
Secretary			
Allison Riemer, LPC Clerk	Planning	Associate Planner	ariemer@cityofberkeley.info

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven't met at least four times, explain why not.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Fatema Crane, staff	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes						
Allison Riemer, staff		\boxtimes			\boxtimes							

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

Christopher Adams	\boxtimes										
Kathleen Crandall	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Charles Enchill	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
Steven Finacom	\boxtimes		\boxtimes								
Stephanie Johnson		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			\boxtimes				
Luke Leuschner	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes							
Christopher Linvill									\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Denise Hall Montgomery	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Carrie Olson (substitute)	\boxtimes										
Paul Schwartz	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Betsy Thagard (substitute)		\boxtimes									
Alfred Twu	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description (including method presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Fatema Crane, staff	Historic Preservation Bootcamp for Local Governments (webinar)	2 hours	California Preservation Foundation	9/22/22

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

	Historic Preservation Bootcamp for Local Governments (webinar)	2 hours	California Preservation Foundation	9/22/22
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act</u>

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. (If you have not done so, submit an electronic copy or link if available online with this report.)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Click or tap here to enter text.			

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

Survey Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

How are you using the survey data? Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide an electronic link to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE ANNUAL PRODUCTS REPORTS FOR CLGS

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf.CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2021-September 30, 2021) how many historic properties did your local government **add** to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

	Program area	Number of Properties added
City of Berkeley		4

A. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2021-September 30, 2022) did you have a local register program to create local landmarks and/or local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law? □Yes ☑ No

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

	es, then how many properties have been 2022? Click or tap here to enter text.	added to your register or designated from C	ctober 1, 2021		
Local Tax Incentives	s Program				
	ing period (October 1, 2021-September 3	30, 2022) did you have a Local Tax Incentive	s Program, such		
2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022? Click or tap here to enter text.					
Name of Program	Number of Properties Added During 2021-2022	Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program			
Mills Act	1	48			
 Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program During the reporting period (October 1, 2021-September 30, 2022) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? □Yes ☒No If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022? N/A 					
Nar	me of Program Nu	mber of Properties that have Benefited			
Гуре here.	Тур	e here.			
Design Review/Loca	al Regulatory Program				

E.

C.

D.

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2021-September 30, 2022) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties? \square Yes \boxtimes No

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021? Type here.

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

1.	During the reporting period (October 1, 2021September 30, 2022) did you have a local program to	acquire (or help to
	acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means?	□ No

2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022 Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.	Type here.

IN ADDITION TO THE MINIMUM CLG REQUIREMENTS, OHP IS INTERESTED IN YOUR FEEDBACK ABOUT THE RECENT CAMP TRAINING

- Did anyone from your local government participate in the free CAMP training opportunities in Fall 2021? No
- Whether or not you were able to take advantage of any of the CAMP trainings in 2021, would you like to see OHP to provide free additional CAMPs in the future? Yes
- What are your top three topics for future training?

I opic	

XII Attachments (electronic)

Ш	Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all commission members/alternatives and st	.att
	Minutes from commission meetings	
	Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance	

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2021through September 30, 2022)

☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan

☐ Public outreach publications

Email to: info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov

LATE COMMUNICATIONS LPC 03-30-23

Crane, Fatema

From: Candace Hyde-Wang <candacehw@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2023 1:35 PM

To: Crane, Fatema

Subject: HOPKINS CORRIDOR PROJECT-Please distribute this for the 3/30 meeting

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is

You are being presented with the beginning of a project that is dangerous for our city. Hopkins is a specified evacuation corridor. Hopkins and The Arlington are in high fire areas.

See page 19: https://cwppberkeley.hub.arcgis.com/documents/c00c8413aa6f44e082fcef77e60322ee/explore

Under state laws passed in January of last year, loads on these corridors under different scenarios must be figured and planned for. Berkeley is required to do this study and put it into its Local Hazard Mitigation Plan and it is scheduled to begin in July of this year. It has not yet been done.

It is dangerous and irresponsible to do street reconfigurations without the data from this study, which must have citizen commentary and input according to FEMA.

Complete Streets design changes have tradeoffs and these, in a hillside city are very serious. Berkeley has tens of thousands of citizens who require cars to get around, whether they live in the hills or have a handicap. Tens of thousands of citizens will need to evacuate from hillside areas and, if the fire department is to be believed, from flat land areas also. Indeed, we have been told that Berkeley may burn down to the freeway. And let's not forget Kensington, which will flood Arlington in this scenario. Since a detailed look at this problem has not been done, it is too soon to approve structural changes. The two-way cycle track proposed that this feeds into is on the south side of the street. The north side, which would see thousands evacuating, would be narrowed to one lane. It is extreme negligence on the part of the city to plan such a change.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qaA6EvIAQrs

A further note is that cycling danger in Berkeley seems to have been overestimated as has the 'safety' of bike lanes. Alameda County and Copenhagen, with fewer residents, both have 3 cycling deaths a year. Berkeley has one every few years and mostly on streets on southside. Lowering this would need a more detailed look at things like not wearing helmets as contributors. Even Danish studies of bicycle infrastructure show increased accidents from cycle lanes.

https://www.vehicularcyclist.com/copenhagen1.pdf

https://www.renehersecycles.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/bicycle20tracks20and20lanes.pdf

We need to plan our city according to the data and for the most urgent needs.

PLEASE VOTE AGAINST THIS FOOLISH AND ALREADY OUTDATED PLAN.

Thank you!
Candace Hyde-Wang

Crane, Fatema

From: John Curl < curljohn@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2023 10:27 AM
To: Landmarks Preservation Commission

Cc: Crane, Fatema

Subject: Turtle Island Monument

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Landmarks Commissioners,

Today you are in the extraordinary position of making key decisions regarding a project that will be celebrated around the world and a spiritual focal point of Berkeley for generations to come.

At the heart of the city, the Turtle Island Monument will represent the very best that Berkeley has to offer the world. Sustainable and constructive life ways in harmony with the environment that Indigenous people have always tried to live by: the values that we all need to survive and thrive. That is the core message that that the Turtle Island Monument of Berkeley will be offering the world.

I am certain that you all understand what is at stake here, and you will all rise to the occasion and move the Turtle Island Monument forward to completion.

We are all very fortunate to be able to play a role in this great creative project, and we can proudly tell our great-grandchildren that through the Turtle Island Monument we contributed an important part in helping to move this troubled world back onto a better path to a constructive and visionary future.

Thank you for all your hard work. John Curl Berkeley resident ince 1971.